ROOM:	
TIME:	

## District Wyoming Music Festival Official Adjudication Form **CHOIR**

Information Must Be Typed

NAME OF GROUP:

SCHOOL:

## Adjudicator,

- Place the number in the circle for each area that most closely matches the descriptors in the corresponding box.
- Total the scores and affix a division rating based upon the scale at the bottom of the form.

  Please add your comments and suggestions in the large space provided (use back, if necessary) and sign the form.

	ell-placed vowels		Vowel performance not given	
			attention	
	ccellent ensemble tone	Vowels not always consistent  Tone control/color varies in certain	Tone production lacking basic	Basic ensemble sound and concept not developed
	onsistent color and quality all ranges and registers.	ranges and volumes	concept	Many problems with overall tone
	pen, resonant, well-	Open, resonant and well- supported tone most of the time	Breath control and support inconsistent	production and range changes
INTONTATION Ex	cellent control and	Listening skills inconsistent within	Lack of attention to listening skills	Listening skills undeveloped
	tening skills are developed ensemble	ensemble	Intonation problems within	Many passages present
Ad	ljustments made instantly	Some problems exist in extreme ranges or difficult passages	ensemble	problems
	thin melodic and harmonic ontexts		Few problems are corrected	Individual/ensemble problems go uncorrected
	tches, rhythms, attacks and leases are accurate and	Most rhythms, pitches, attacks and releases are performed	Accuracy of pitches, rhythms, attacks and releases are	Numerous inaccurate pitches, rhythmic passages, attacks and
	listically correct	accurately	inconsistent	releases
1 \ / / / / /	ppropriate pronunciation of nguage	Some problems with pronunciation of language	Considerable problems with language pronunciation	Language pronunciation inappropriate
	ery musical and sensitive erformance	Meaningful interpretation most of the time	Some passages lack interpretation and expression of text	Very little meaningful interpretation of passages
	ccurate style and tempo	Style and tempo are accurate most of the time	Style and tempos are consistently presented	Style and tempos are not maintained
Ex	ccellent dynamic range	Good use of dynamic range	Inconsistent attention to dynamics	Little or no use of dynamics
D/(L/(((OL), DLL(()	cellent melodic and rmonic balance	Good balance/blend most of the time	Frequent uncorrected	
	sections/singers	Balance/blend problems occur in	balance/blend problems	
	emonstrate excellent blend ensemble sonority	difficult passages, but are quickly corrected	Musical lines often unclear	Little evidence of concept of balance and blend
ens	ccompaniment balanced to semble	Few accompaniment balance problems	Accompaniment not balanced well to ensemble	
	nrasing, articulations and			
	ction are accurately erformed	Uniformity in articulation, diction	Articulation, diction and phrasing	Basic vocal technique and
Evi	vidence of excellent breath	and phrasing is missing	lack accuracy and consistency	posture need attention
	ntrol	Some inconsistencies in proper vocal technique and posture	Some passages lack consistency in proper use of vowels	Articulation, diction and phrasing need attention
	ontrol and posture enhance rerall technique			
OTHER FACTORS Ou	utstanding literature for age	Abovo ovorago litoraturo for ago	Average literature for age and	Below average or unacceptable
	id training	Above average literature for age and training	Average literature for age and training	literature
	ofessional approach nsemble is appropriately	Inconsistencies in attire and/or formal approach	Approach lacks polish and professionalism	Ensemble does not demonstrate appropriate approach to formal performance setting
	tired cores provided with	Scores provided with numbered measure for each adjudicator	Common etiquette often overlooked	Individuals detract from performance
nui	imbered measures for each ljudicator	measure for each adjudicator	Scores not properly prepared for adjudicators	performance
Adjudicator				
Comments and				
Suggestions for				
Improvement				

Total Points		
(	)	

**RATING COMPUTATION TABLE** 

35 - 31 = DIVISION I (SUPERIOR) 30 – 24 = DIVISION II (EXCELLENT)

23 – 17 = DIVISION III (GOOD) 16 – 11 = DIVISION IV (FAIR)

10 - 0 = DIVISION V (POOR)

Signature of Adjudicator

Signature of Festival Chairman

DIVISIONAL RATING \_\_